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Part of the contents of this talk appear in the recent TPLP paper “50 years of Prolog and Beyond,” by Philipp Körner, Michael Leuschel, João Barbosa, Vítor Santos Costa, Verónica Dahl, Manuel V. Hermenegildo, Jose F. Morales, Jan Wielemaker, Daniel Diaz, Salvador Abreu, and Giovanni Ciatto

written for Prolog’s 50th anniversary and TPLP’s 20th anniversary.
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  ► Inspire a new generation of students, by drawing their attention to the logic-based approach to computing.
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  ▶ What, 50 years?!? Half a century?!?!?
  ▶ Is Prolog therefore now ’old’? Is Prolog now irrelevant?

• Actually... continued interest:
  ▶ Many active implementations, and more appearing continuously.
  ▶ TIOBE index of programming languages shows Prolog:
    • In upper 10% of all languages tracked (270).
    • Stable, even somewhat upward trend since 2012.
    • One of only 13 languages that are tracked ’long term’.
  ▶ A truly impressive body of research and scientific firsts.
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Early steps, major milestones
Ancestors and birth

• Not possible to do full justice in this talk!

• Anyway, some highlights:
  ▶ McCarthy (1962): the AI language LISP → “very high-level languages.”
  ▶ Robinson (1965): resolution inference rule.
  ▶ Green (1969): extend resolution to answer questions in FO-logic (QA3).
  ▶ Boyer and Moore (1972): structure sharing.
  → Marseilles - Edinburgh collaboration (Colmerauer/Kowalski and teams).
  → Prolog! (1972–1973)
  ▶ The competing “procedural” view of AI (e.g., Hewitt).
  → Prompted Kowalski to marry the procedural and logical views.
  ▶ Edinburgh: DHD Warren, +Pereira(s)/Bowen/Byrd; later Lisbon.
  → Dec-10 Prolog
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- Global analysis (abstract interpretation), P.Eval.; Aquarius, &-Prolog/Ciao.
  (Independence/aliasing, modes, types, determinacy, sharing, non-failure, cost, ...)
  First practical compiler(s) using abstract interpretation?
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All this progressed in parallel with further advances in the theoretical underpinnings:

- Kowalski/van Emden (1976): linear res. for Horn clauses, no factoring rule, ...
- Clark (1978): correctness of NaF w.r.t. program completion.
- Reiter (1978): formalization of “Closed world assumption.”
- Minker, Gallaire, Cohen, Lassez/Jaffar/Maher, DHD Warren, Tamaki/Sato, DS Warren, ...
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1972
Prolog 0

1973
Prolog I

1975
DEC-10 Prolog

1975
CDL Prolog

1982
C-Prolog, MU-Prolog

1982
WAM

1983
WAM

1983
SICStus &-Prolog (Ciao)

1985
Quintus

1985
YAP

1986
CLP(ℌ)

1986
SWI Prolog

1986 - SICStus & -Prolog (Ciao)

1987
SB Prolog

1988
CHIP

1989
Ciao

1992
wamcc

1992
BinProlog

1993
Ciao

1993
ISO Prolog

1994
XSB

1994
B-Prolog

1995
GNU

1995
ECLiPSe

After ISO – much additional evolution:

- Constraints in standard Prologs: “Opening the box” (attvars/CHR).
- Learning (ILP), probabilistic.
- ASP ⊻ Prolog-ASP combinations ⊻ s(CASP).
- Web embedding, playgrounds, notebooks.

Applications of techniques to other languages, combination with deep learning / explainable AI, ...
Early Prologs and main milestones (∼ up to ISO)

After ISO – much additional evolution:

- Constraints in standard Prologs: “Opening the box” (attvars/CHR).
- Learning (ILP), probabilistic.
- ASP ⇝ Prolog-ASP combinations ⇝ s(CASP).
- Web embedding, playgrounds, notebooks.

+ applications of techniques to other languages, combination with deep learning / explainable AI, ...

Let’s jump forward and take a look at the current state of things!
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An overview of current systems
Prolog system heritage

White background: currently active/supported systems.
Lower legends: just some highlight(s) (see later).
Arrows: influences and inspiration.

Prolog heritage:
- Prolog 0 & I: negation as failure
- Prolog II: cyclic structures
- Prolog III: constraints
- Prolog IV: Marseille Prolog line

DEC-10 Prolog: compiled, de facto standard
C-Prolog: interpreted, portable

The WAM: compiled, portable

Quintus: commercial, de-facto standard

SICStus: commercial support, JIT

&-Prolog / Ciao: parallel, assertions

SWI: libraries

YAP: indexing

BIM: commercial, native

SB-Prolog

WAM-based Prologs

WAM alternatives

tuProlog: JVM, interoperability
BinProlog: binarization
B-Prolog: TOAM

XSB: tabling

GNU: fd/indexicals
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Prolog system heritage

Prolog 0 & I
- negation as failure

Prolog II
- cyclic structures

Prolog III
- constraints

Prolog IV
- Marseille Prolog line

DEC-10 Prolog
- compiled, de facto standard

C-Prolog
- interpreted, portable

The WAM
- compiled, portable

Quintus
- commercial, de-facto standard

BIM
- commercial, native

SICStus
- commercial support, JIT

&-Prolog / Ciao
- parallel, assertions

SWI
- libraries

YAP
- indexing

SB-Prolog

WAM-based Prologs

WAM alternatives

tuProlog
- JVM, interoperability

BinProlog
- binarization

B-Prolog
- TOAM

XSB
- tabling

GNU
- fd/indexicals

White background: currently active/supported systems.
Lower legends: just some highlight(s) (see later).
Arrows: influences and inspiration.
Again, more missing!: ECL^iPS^e, IBM, LIFE, Andorra-I, Scryer, Tau, ...
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## Support status for selected features - I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Open Src.</th>
<th>Modules</th>
<th>Non-Std. Data Types</th>
<th>Foreign Language Interfaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>arrays, sets, hashtables</td>
<td>C, Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, Python, JScrp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>arrays, strings</td>
<td>C, Java, Python, PHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>arrays</td>
<td>C, Java, PHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, .NET, Tcl/Tk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>dicts, strings</td>
<td>C, C++, Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τProlog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>JavaScript</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>arrays</td>
<td>Java, .NET, Android, iOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, PERL, Python</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Python, R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Open Src.</td>
<td>Modules</td>
<td>Non-Std. Data Types</td>
<td>Foreign Language Interfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>arrays, sets, hashtables</td>
<td>C, Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, Python, JScrupt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>arrays, strings</td>
<td>C, Java, Python, PHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>arrays</td>
<td>C, Java, PHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>dicts, strings</td>
<td>C, C++, Java, .NET, Tcl/Tk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>JavaScript</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τProlog</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>Java, .NET, Android, iOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>arrays</td>
<td>C, Java, PERL, Python</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Python, R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Open Src.</td>
<td>Modules</td>
<td>Non-Std. Data Types</td>
<td>Foreign Language Interfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>arrays, sets, hashtables</td>
<td>C, Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, Python, JScript</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>arrays, strings</td>
<td>C, Java, Python, PHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>arrays</td>
<td>C, Java, PHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>dicts, strings</td>
<td>C, Java, .NET, Tcl/Tk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, C++, Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau)Prolog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>arrays</td>
<td>JavaScript</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Java, .NET, Android, iOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, PERL, Python</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Python, R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Open Src.</td>
<td>Modules</td>
<td>Non-Std. Data Types</td>
<td>Foreign Language Interfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>arrays, sets, hashtables</td>
<td>C, Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, Python, JScrpt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>arrays, strings</td>
<td>C, Java, Python, PHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>arrays</td>
<td>C, Java, PHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, .NET, Tcl/Tk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>dicts, strings</td>
<td>C, C++, Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τProlog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>JavaScript</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>arrays</td>
<td>Java, .NET, Android, iOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, PERL, Python</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Python, R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Open Src.</td>
<td>Modules</td>
<td>Non-Std. Data Types</td>
<td>Foreign Language Interfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>arrays, sets, hashtables</td>
<td>C, Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, Python, JScrpt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>arrays, strings</td>
<td>C, Java, Python, PHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>arrays</td>
<td>C, Java, PHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, .NET, Tcl/Tk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>dicts, strings</td>
<td>C, C++, Java</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τProlog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>JavaScript</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>arrays</td>
<td>Java, .NET, Android, iOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Java, PERL, Python</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, Python, R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Support status for selected features - II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>CLP</th>
<th>CHR</th>
<th>Tabling</th>
<th>Parallelism</th>
<th>Indexing</th>
<th>Coroutines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>$\mathbf{FD, B, Set}$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>$\mathbf{FD, Q, R}$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>FA, MA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>$\mathbf{FD, Q, R, Set}$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>most suitable</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>$\mathbf{FD, B}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>undocumented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>$\mathbf{FD, B, Q, R}$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>$\mathbf{FD, B, Q, R}$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>MA, deep, JIT</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$Prolog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>undocumented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>$\mathbf{R}$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>all, trie</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>$\mathbf{FD, Q, R}$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>FA, MA, JIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>CLP</td>
<td>CHR</td>
<td>Tabling</td>
<td>Parallelism</td>
<td>Indexing</td>
<td>Coroutines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>(FD, B, ) (Set)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>N-FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>(FD, Q, R)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>FA, MA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>(FD, Q, R, Set)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>most suitable</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>(FD, B)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>undocumented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>(FD, B, Q, R)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>(FD, B, Q, R)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>MA, deep, JIT</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau)Prolog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>undocumented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>(R)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>all, trie</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>(FD, Q, R)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>FA, MA, JIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Support status for selected features - II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>CLP</th>
<th>CHR</th>
<th>Tabling</th>
<th>Parallelism</th>
<th>Indexing</th>
<th>Coroutines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>$FD, B, Set$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>$FD, Q, R$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>FA, MA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>$FD, Q, R, Set$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>most suitable</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>$FD, B$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>undocumented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>$FD, B, Q, R$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>$FD, B, Q, R$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>MA, deep, JIT</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$Prolog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>undocumented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>all, trie</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>$FD, Q, R$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>FA, MA, JIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Support status for selected features - II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>CLP</th>
<th>CHR</th>
<th>Tabling</th>
<th>Parallelism</th>
<th>Indexing</th>
<th>Coroutines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>$FD, B, Set$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>N-FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>$FD, Q, R$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>FA, MA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>$FD, Q, R, Set$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>most suitable</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>$FD, B$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>undocumented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>$FD, B, Q, R$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>$FD, B, Q, R$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>MA, deep, JIT</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ-Prolog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>undocumented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>all, trie</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>$FD, Q, R$</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>FA, MA, JIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Support status for selected features - II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>CLP</th>
<th>CHR</th>
<th>Tabling</th>
<th>Parallelism</th>
<th>Indexing</th>
<th>Coroutines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>$FD$, $B$, $Set$</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-FA</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>$FD$, $Q$, $R$</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>FA, MA</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>$FD$, $Q$, $R$, $Set$</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>most suitable</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>$FD$, $B$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>undocumented</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>$FD$, $B$, $Q$, $R$</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>$FD$, $B$, $Q$, $R$</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>MA, deep, JIT</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>undocumented</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>all, trie</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>$FD$, $Q$, $R$</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>FA, MA, JIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Support status for selected features - II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>CLP</th>
<th>CHR</th>
<th>Tabling</th>
<th>Parallelism</th>
<th>Indexing</th>
<th>Coroutines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>(FD, B, Set)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>(FD, Q, R)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>FA, MA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>(FD, Q, R, Set)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>most suitable</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>(FD, B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>(FD, B, Q, R)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>(FD, B, Q, R)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>MA, deep, JIT</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau)Prolog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>(R)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>all, trie</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>(FD, Q, R)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>FA, MA, JIT</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Support status for selected features - III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Debugger</th>
<th>Global Vars</th>
<th>Mutables</th>
<th>Testing</th>
<th>Types/Modes</th>
<th>s(CASP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>trace / graphical</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ-Prolog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>spy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Debugger</td>
<td>Global Vars.</td>
<td>Mutables</td>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>Types/Modes</td>
<td>s(CASP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>trace / graphical</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>spy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Support status for selected features - III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Debugger</th>
<th>Global Vars.</th>
<th>Mutables</th>
<th>Testing</th>
<th>Types/Modes</th>
<th>s(CASP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>trace / graphical</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau)Prolog</td>
<td>spy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>spy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Support status for selected features - III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Debugger</th>
<th>Global Vars.</th>
<th>Mutables</th>
<th>Testing</th>
<th>Types/Modes</th>
<th>s(CASP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>trace / graphical</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τProlog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>spy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Debugger</td>
<td>Global Vars.</td>
<td>Mutables</td>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>Types/Modes</td>
<td>s(CASP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>trace / graphical</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ-Prolog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>spy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Debugger</td>
<td>Global Vars.</td>
<td>Mutables</td>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>Types/Modes</td>
<td>s(CASP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>trace / graphical</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ-Prolog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>spy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Support status for selected features - III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Debugger</th>
<th>Global Vars.</th>
<th>Mutables</th>
<th>Testing</th>
<th>Types/Modes</th>
<th>s(CASP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciao</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLiPSe</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Prolog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIProlog</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICStus</td>
<td>trace / source</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWI</td>
<td>trace / graphical</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ-Prolog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuProlog</td>
<td>spy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSB</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAP</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many other features!
- Auto-documentation, attributed variables, objects, enhanced expansions, playgrounds, ...
● Prolog systems have come a long way!
● ISO standard generally supported (with minor differences).
● Basic module system pretty compatible.
● A good number of commonly available features:
  ► Constraints.
  ► Multi-threading.
  ► Tabling.
  ► Coroutining.
  ► ...

However,
  ► Interfaces and details often differ.
    Can mostly be bridged (c.f., Paolo Moura’s work), but a real nuisance.
  ► Some features (e.g., Types/modes/verification, s(CASP), …) still in few systems.
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Influences on others
Influence in other languages within LP and its extensions

- Goedel, Mercury, Turbo-Prolog (static typing)
- λ-Prolog, Curry, Babel
- CP, GHC, Parlog, Erlang (committed choice)
- Datalog, ASP
- s(ASP) and s(CASP) (can also be seen as extensions)
- HyProlog, Co-inductive LP, ...
- Probabilistic LP
- LogTalk
- Picat
- CHR, CHRG
- ...
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Influence beyond LP

- Theorem proving technology.
- Java (abstract machine, specification, ...).
- Erlang.
- Many embeddings in other languages.
- Many others: C++, many compilers, ...
- Analyzers and verifiers for other languages.
- ...
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Further analysis of current status and outlook
Prolog strengths

- Clean, simple syntax and semantics.
  - Immutable persistent data structures, with “declarative” pointers (logic variables).
  - Arbitrary precision arithmetic.
  - Safety (garbage collection, no NullPointerException exceptions, ...).
  - Tail-recursion and last-call optimization.
  - Efficient inference, pattern matching, and unification; DCGs.
  - Meta-programming, programs as data.
  - Constraint solving.
  - Independence of the selection rule (coroutines).
  - Indexing, efficient tabling.
  - Fast development, REPL (Read, Execute, Print, Loop), debugging, ...
  - Commercial and open-source systems (some very substantive and mature!).
  - Active developer community with constant new implementations, features, etc.
  - Sophisticated tools: analyzers, partial evaluators, parallelizers, ...
  - Many books, courses, and learning materials.

- Successful applications, including:
  - Program analysis (Abstr. Interp., Set-Based Anal., Datalog, energy, gas, ...).
  - Domain-specific languages.
  - Heterogeneous data integration.
  - Natural language processing.
  - Efficient inference (expert systems, theorem provers), symbolic AI, ...
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Prolog weaknesses → and how to address them

- Learning curve, beginners can easily write programs that loop or consume a huge amount of resources → teach it well, use the right tools! (see later)
- Lack of static typing → but notable exceptions!
- Lack of data hiding → but notable exceptions!
- Lack of object orientation. → but notable exceptions!
- Packages: availability and management → improve compatibility.
- Limited support for embedded or app development → but notable exceptions!
- Syntactically different from “traditional” programming languages, not a mainstream language → offer alternative syntax?
- IDEs and development tools: much progress but still limitations in some areas (e.g., refactoring) → future work?
- Limitations in portability across systems → need to improve.
- UI development (usually conducted in a foreign language via FLI) → exceptions / need to improve?

Summary: much can be taken from other Prolog systems; also work still needed.
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  - Big Data.

- New features and developments:
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  - Embedding ASP and SAT or SMT solving, s(CASP) applications.
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    - Full-fledged JIT compiler.
    - Global optimization, partial evaluation (‘provably correct refactoring’).
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- Improve portability of existing features (cf., Prolog systems tables):
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- More unified macro system.
- Improved syntactic support for data structures.
- Support for functional programming syntax.
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Types, modes, and other properties
(Some perspectives from the Ciao Prolog system)
Dynamic vs. Static languages – the classic dilemma

Dynamic languages (Prolog, Lisp/Scheme, Python, Javascript, ...)

- Dynamic checking of basic types, modes, and some other properties:
  - ..., A is B+C, ...
    - B and C checked by is/2 to be instantiated to numexpr at run time.
  - ..., arg(N,T,A), ...
    - N checked by arg/3 to be nat & ≤ arity(T) (“array bounds”).

→ Flexibility, compactness, rapid prototyping, scripting, ..., but
  - Most errors only detected at run time.
  - Need to use tags (boxing of data) to identify type and mode, store arity, etc.

Static languages (ML, Haskell, Mercury, Gödel, ...)

- Compiler statically checks types.

→ Safety guarantees (types), performance, scalability, ..., but
  - more rigid, limitations on language and provable properties.

- Note that some languages (e.g., C) are neither (even if still very useful!):
  - no checking of, e.g., array bounds at compile time or run time...
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Solving the Dynamic vs. Static Dilemma

The Ciao Approach (mid 90s’s!):

1. **Assertions** can be used to express types, modes, and many other properties.  
   - But voluntary: provided up front, gradually, or not at all.

2. Then, *advanced program analysis* (abstract interpretation) is used to:
   - Verify the assertions:
     - As much as possible at compile-time;
     - else, run-time tests generated.
   - Achieve high performance:
     - Eliminate run-time checks at compile time.
     - Unboxing, specialization, slicing, automatic parallelization, ...

3. Also, easily generate tests from assertions (this is (C)LP!).

- Provides the flexibility of dynamic languages, but with
- *guaranteed safety, reliability, and efficiency.*

- Quite popular nowadays: gradual typing, Racket, liquid Haskell, etc.
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The Ciao Integrated Approach to Specification, Debugging, Verification, Testing, and Optimization

PREPROCESSOR

Program $P$

$:-\text{check}$
$:-\text{trust}$
$:-\text{test}$
$I_\alpha$

Builtins/Libs

Assertion Normalizer & Lib Itf.

Static Analysis

Analysis Info $[[P]]_\alpha$

Comparator (Incl. VCgen)

RT Check

Unit Test

$:-\text{texec}$

$:-\text{check}$

$:-\text{false}$

$:-\text{checked}$

possible run–time error

verification warning

compile–time error

verified

certificate (ACC)

(optimized) code
**Discussion: Comparison with Classical Types**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“Traditional” Types</th>
<th>Ciao Assertion-based Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Properties” limited by decidability</td>
<td>Much more general property language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May need to limit prog. lang.</td>
<td>No need to limit prog. lang.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Untypable” programs rejected</td>
<td>Run-time checks introduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Almost) Decidable</td>
<td>Decidable + Undecidable (approximated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressed in a different language</td>
<td>Expressed in the source language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types must be defined</td>
<td>Types can be defined or inferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assertions are only of type “check”</td>
<td>“check”, “trust”, ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type signatures &amp; assertions different</td>
<td>Type signatures are assertions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- But quite popular now: gradual typing, Racket, liquid Haskell, etc.

- Some key issues:
  - **Safe / Sound approximation**
  - **Abstract Interpretation**

- Works best when properties and assertions can be expressed in the source language (i.e., source lang. supports *predicates*, *constraints*).
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Manuel Hermenegildo – Some Reflections on Prolog’s Evolution, Status, and Future on its 50th Anniversary (ICLP’22/FLoC’22, Aug. 4, 2022)
Demo! (See slides at the end.)
Teaching (and preaching) Prolog
On teaching (and preaching) Prolog

• Prolog / LP / CLP *must* be taught in CS programs,
  ▶ A CS graduate is simply not complete without knowledge of Prolog.
  (and maybe also in other majors and maybe in schools –cf. Prolog Year?)

• But it has to be done right!
  ▶ The standard ’programming paradigms’ approach can be counter-productive.
  ▶ Simply cannot be done in a couple of weeks emulating Prolog in Scheme.
    • What to do if that is the only slot available?

• On the way *dispel unfounded myths* about the language, and show how many of the shortcomings of early Prologs have been *addressed over the years*. 
On teaching (and preaching) Prolog

• Prolog / LP / CLP *must* be taught in CS programs,
  ► A CS graduate is simply not complete without knowledge of Prolog.
  (and maybe also in other majors and maybe in schools –cf. Prolog Year?)

• But it has to be done right!
  ► The standard 'programming paradigms' approach can be counter-productive.
  ► Simply cannot be done in a couple of weeks emulating Prolog in Scheme.
    ● What to do if that is the only slot available?

• On the way *dispel unfounded myths* about the language, and show how many of the shortcomings of early Prologs have been *addressed over the years*.
On teaching (and preaching) Prolog

- Prolog / LP / CLP must be taught in CS programs,
  - A CS graduate is simply not complete without knowledge of Prolog.
  (and maybe also in other majors and maybe in schools –cf. Prolog Year?)

- But it has to be done right!
  - The standard 'programming paradigms' approach can be counter-productive.
  - Simply cannot be done in a couple of weeks emulating Prolog in Scheme.
    - What to do if that is the only slot available?

- On the way dispel unfounded myths about the language, and show how many of the shortcomings of early Prologs have been addressed over the years.
“Prolog gets into infinite loops.”

This is true—in fact, of any programming language or proof system. However, it is likely to discourage beginners if not explained well:

- Use a system that can *alternatively and selectively* run in breadth-first, iterative deepening, tabling, etc.
- Start by running all predicates, e.g., breadth-first—everything works!
- Then, explain the shape of the tree (solutions at finite depth, possible infinite failures, etc.), and thus why breadth-first works, and why depth-first sometimes may not.
- Do relate it to the *halting problem*: no-one (Prolog, logic, nor other Turing-complete prog. language) can solve that (but tabling helps).
- Discuss advantages and disadvantages of search rules (time, memory). Motivate the choices made for Prolog benchmarking actual executions.
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- Do relate it to the *halting problem*: no-one (Prolog, logic, nor other Turing-complete prog. language) can solve that (but tabling helps).
- Discuss advantages and disadvantages of search rules (time, memory). Motivate the choices made for Prolog benchmarking actual executions.
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Characterization of the search tree
Depth-First Search

- Solution
- Fail
- Infinite failure
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Breadth-First Search
On teaching (and preaching) Prolog

- “Arithmetic is not reversible.”
  - Start with Peano arithmetic: beautiful but slow.
  - Then justify Prolog arithmetic for efficiency.
  - Then show (arithmetic) constraint domains: beautiful and efficient!

- “There is no occur check.”
  - Explain why, and that there is a built-in for it.
  - Have a package (expansion) that calls it by default for all unifications.
  - Explain the existence of infinite tree unification (as a constraint domain).

- “Prolog is not pure (cut, assert, etc.)”
  - Have a pure mode in the implementation so that impure built-ins simply are not present.
  - Develop pure libraries (including monad-style).
  - Develop purer built-ins.

  and accept that impurity is necessary sometimes, but keep it encapsulated when possible.
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  ▶ Then justify Prolog arithmetic for efficiency.
  ▶ Then show (arithmetic) constraint domains: beautiful and efficient!

- “There is no occur check.”
  ▶ Explain why, and that there is a built-in for it.
  ▶ Have a package (expansion) that calls it by default for all unifications.
  ▶ Explain the existence of infinite tree unification (as a constraint domain).
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On teaching (and preaching) Prolog

The following views are specially relevant to teaching Prolog (and LP) to (CS) college students: they have already been exposed to other languages (imperative/OO, sometimes functional) and probably have some notions of PL implementation.

• “Prolog is a strange language.”
  ▶ Show that Prolog subsumes functional and imperative programming (after SSA). It is simply that and more.
  (This idea useful for analysis of other languages!)
  ▶ Show that it is completely normal if used in one direction and there is only one definition per procedure.
  ▶ But it can also have several definitions, search, run backwards, etc.
  ▶ In addition to a stack of forward continuations, as every language, to know where go when a procedure returns (succeeds), it also has a stack of backwards continuations to go if there is a failure (previous choice point).

• “Prolog has no applications / interest / nobody uses it.”
  ▶ The TIOBE index disagrees...
  ▶ Show some good examples of applications (cf. Prolog Year).

• “The Fifth Generation failed!” Not true...
  and it did not use Prolog or “real LP” anyway!
  They used in fact “something like Erlang” (probably because we never saw such a thing could have been...)
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  - and it did not use Prolog or “real LP” anyway!
  - They used in fact “something like Erlang” (probably the issue was more of semantics, rather than Prolog).
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Personal Sequential Inference –PSI– machine (Prolog machine) in FGCS ICOT’s basement (the large refrigerator-size box on the right).
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On teaching (and preaching) Prolog

- Do show the beauty:
  - Explain “Green’s dream,” discuss for what logics we have effective deduction procedures, justify the choice of FO and semi-decidability, SLD-resolution → classical LP (Kowalski/Colmerauer).
  - Show how logic programs are both logical theories (with declarative meaning) and procedural programs that can be debugged, followed step by step, etc.
    - An operational (in addition to declarative) semantics is a requirement in the language (vs., e.g., Goedel) and we do need to teach it.
    - Otherwise not a programming language, just specification/KR – Prolog is both.
    - How otherwise to reason about complexity, memory consumption, etc.? To say that these things don’t matter does not make sense in PL.
  - Show with examples (and benchmarking them) how you can go from executable specifications to efficient algorithms gradually, and as needed.
  - Show how unification is also a device for constructing and matching complex data structures with (declarative) pointers. Show it in the top level, giving “the data structures class.”
  - Do use types (and properties in general): define them as predicates, show them used to check if something is in the type (dynamic checking), or “run backwards” to generate the “inhabitants”; property-based testing for free!
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- Involve implementors and users.
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Demo slides for the part on:

Types, modes, and other properties

(Some perspectives from the Ciao Prolog system)
Example: qsort

Ciao warns that it cannot verify that the call to =</2 will not generate a run-time error (assertion is in library!):

```
:- module(_, [qsort/2], [assertions, nativeprops, (nmodes)]).

qsort([], []).  
qsort([First|Rest], Result) :-
    partition(Rest, First, Sm, Lg),
    qsort(Sm, SmS),
    qsort(Lg, LgS),
    append(SmS, [First|LgS], Result).

partition,[], _, [], []).  
partition([X|Y], F, [X|Y1], Y2) :-
    X =< F,
    partition(Y, F, Y1, Y2).
partition([X|Y], F, Y1, [X|Y2]) :-
    X > F,
    partition(Y, F, Y1, Y2).

append([], Xs, Xs).
append([X|Xs], Ys, [X|Zs]) :-
    append(Xs, Ys, Zs).
```
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```prolog
:- module(_, [qsort/2], [assertions,nativeprops..(nmodes)]).

qsort([], []).  
qsort([First|Rest], Result) :-  
  partition(Rest, First, Sm, Lg), 
  qsort(Sm, SmS), 
  qsort(Lg, LgS),  
  append(SmS, [First|LgS], Result).

partition([], _, [], []).  
partition([X|Y].F,[X|Y1],Y2) :-  
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	partition([X|Y].F,Y1,[X|Y2]) :-  
  X > F, 
  partition(Y,F,Y1,Y2).

append([], Xs, Xs).  
append([X|Xs], Ys, [X|Zs]) :-  
  append(Xs, Ys, Zs).
```
Example: qsort

Adding useful entry information Ciao can infer that =\langle/2 is called correctly, and no warnings are flagged (this would normally be obtained from analysis of caller to this module):

```prolog
:- module(_, [qsort/2], [assertions, nativeprops, .(nmodes)]).

:- pred qsort(+list(num), _).

qsort([], []).  
qsort([First|Rest], Result) :-  
    partition(Rest, First, Sm, Lg),  
    qsort(Sm, SmS),  
    qsort(Lg, LgS),  
    append(SmS, [First|LgS], Result).

partition([], _, [], []).  
partition([X|Y], F, [X|Y1], Y2) :-  
    X =\langle F,  
    partition(Y, F, Y1, Y2).

partition([X|Y], F, Y1, [X|Y2]) :-  
    X > F,  
    partition(Y, F, Y1, Y2).

append([], Xs, Xs).  
append([X|Xs], Ys, [X|Zs]) :-  
    append(Xs, Ys, Zs).
```
Example: qsort

We add some more assertions...:

```prolog
:- pred qsort(+list(num),-list(num)) + is_det.

qsort([], []).
qsort([First|Rest], Result) :-
    partition(Rest, First, Sm, Lg),
    qsort(Sm, SmS),
    qsort(Lg, LgS),
    append(SmS, [First|LgS], Result).

:- pred partition(+list(num),+num,-list(num),-list(num)) + (is_det, not_fails).

partition([], _, [], []).
partition([X|Y], F, [X|Y1], Y2) :-
    X <= F,
    partition(Y, F, Y1, Y2).
partition([X|Y], F, Y1, [X|Y2]) :-
    X > F,
    partition(Y, F, Y1, Y2).

:- pred append(+list(num),+list(num),-list(num)) + is_det.

append([], Xs, Xs).
append([X|Xs], Ys, [X|Zs]) :-
    append(Xs, Ys, Zs).
```
Example: `qsort`

...and they get verified by Ciao:

```prolog
:- pred qsort(+list(num),-list(num)) + is_det.

qsort([], []).
qsort([First|Rest],Result) :-
    partition(Rest,First,Sm,Lg),
    qsort(Sm,SmS),
    qsort(Lg,LgS),
    append(SmS,[First|LgS],Result).

:- pred partition(+list(num),+num,-list(num),-list(num)) + (is_det,not_fails).

partition([],_,[],[]).
partition([X|Y],F,[X|Y1],Y2) :-
    X =< F,
    partition(Y,F,Y1,Y2).
partition([X|Y],F,Y1,[X|Y2]) :-
    X > F,
    partition(Y,F,Y1,Y2).

:- pred append(+list(num),+list(num),-list(num)) + is_det.

append([],Xs,Xs).
append([X|Xs],Ys,[X|Zs]) :-
    append(Xs,Ys,Zs).
```
Example: qsort

...and they get verified by Ciao:

```prolog
:- pred qsort(+list(num),-list(num)),+is_det.
qsort([], []).  
qsort([First|Rest],Result) :-
  partition(Rest,First,Sm,Lg),
  qsort(Sm,SmS),
  qsort(Lg,LgS),
  append(SmS,[First|LgS],Result).

:- pred partition(+list(num),+num,-list(num),-list(num)),+ (is det, not fails).
  Verified assertion:
  :- check comp partition(A,B,C,D)
      : ( list(num,A), num(B) )
      + ( is_det, not_fails ).
  Verified assertion:
  :- check success partition(A,B,C,D)
      : ( list(num,A), num(B) )
      => ( list(num,C), list(num,D) ).

:- pred append(+list(num),+list(num),-list(num)),+is_det.
append([],Xs,Xs).
append([X|Xs],Ys,[X|Zs]) :-
  append(Xs,Ys,Zs).
```
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Example: qsort

If we replace \( =\langle /2 \) with \( \langle /2 \) Ciao warns that partition/3 can fail (cannot prove not_fails):

```prolog
:- pred qsort(+list(num),-list(num)) + is_det.

qsort([], []).  
qsort([First|Rest],Result) :-  
  partition(Rest,First,Sm,Lg),  
  qsort(Sm,SmS),  
  qsort(Lg,LgS),  
  append(SmS,[First|LgS],Result).

:- pred partition(+list(num),+num,-list(num),-list(num)) + (is_det,not_fails).

partition([],_,[],[],[]).
partition([X|Y],F,[X|Y1],Y2) :-  
  X < F,  
  partition(Y,F,Y1,Y2).
partition([X|Y],F,Y1,[X|Y2]) :-  
  X > F,  
  partition(Y,F,Y1,Y2).

:- pred append(+list(num),+list(num),-list(num)) + is_det.

append([],Xs,Xs).
append([X|Xs],Ys,[X|Zs]) :-  
  append(Xs,Ys,Zs).
```
If we replace \( \geq/2 \) with \( >/2 \), Ciao warns that `partition/3` is not deterministic (cannot prove `is_det`):

```prolog
:- pred qsort(+list(num),-list(num)) + is_det.

qsort([], []).      
qsort([First|Rest], Result) :-
    partition(Rest, First, Sm, Lg),
    qsort(Sm, SmS),
    qsort(Lg, LgS),
    append(SmS, [First|LgS], Result).

:- pred partition(+list(num),+num,-list(num),-list(num)) + (is_det, not_fails).

partition([], _, [], [], []).  
partition([X|Y], F, [X|Y1], Y2) :-
    X =\< F,  
    partition(Y, F, Y1, Y2).
partition([X|Y], F, Y1, [X|Y2]) :-
    X =\= F,  
    partition(Y, F, Y1, Y2).

:- pred append(+list(num),+list(num),-list(num)) + is_det.

append([], Xs, Xs).
append([X|Xs], Ys, [X|Zs]) :-
    append(Xs, Ys, Zs).```
Example: \texttt{nrev (using the functional syntax package)}

An example with more complex properties, a cost error is flagged:

\begin{verbatim}
:- module( , [nrev/2], [assertions.fsyntax,nativeprops]).

:- pred nrev(A,B) : {list, ground} * var => list(B)
   + ( not_fails, is_det, steps_o( length(A) )).

nrev( [] ) := [].
nrev( [H|L] ) := ~conc( ~nrev(L),[H] ).

:- pred conc(A,B,C) + ( terminates, is_det, steps_o(length(A)) ).

conc( [], L ) := L.
conc( [H|L], K ) := [ H | ~conc(L,K) ].
\end{verbatim}
Example: nrev

Ciao reminds us that \texttt{nrev/2} is of course quadratic, not linear:

```prolog
:- module(. [nrev/2], [assertions, fsyntax, nativeprops]).

:- pred nrev(A,B) : {list, ground} * var => list(B).
  ➤ False assertion:
  :- check comp nrev(A,B)
    : ( list(A), ground(A), var(B) )
    + ( not_fails, is_det, steps_o(length(A)) ).
  because the comp field is incompatible with inferred comp:
  [generic_comp] covered,is_det,mut_exclusive,not_fails,steps_lb(0.5*exp(length(A)
    ,2)+1.5*length(A)+1),steps_ub(0.5*exp(length(A),2)+1.5*length(A)+1)
  ➤ Verified assertion:
  :- check calls nrev(A,B)
    : ( list(A), ground(A), var(B) ).
  ➤ Verified assertion:
  :- check success nrev(A,B)
    : ( list(A), ground(A), var(B) )
  => list(B).
```
Example: nrev

With the cost expression fixed all properties are now verified:

```prolog
:- module(_, [nrev/2], [assertions,fsyntax,nativeprops]).

:- pred nrev(A,B) : {list, ground} * var => list(B)
    + ( not_fails, is_det, steps_o( exp(length(A),2)) ).

nrev( [] )  := [].
nrev( [H|L] ) := ~conc( ~nrev(L),[H] ).

:- pred conc(A,B,C) + ( terminates, is_det, steps_o(length(A)) ).

conc( [],     L ) := L.
conc( [H|L],   K ) := [ H | ~conc(L,K) ].
```
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Example: nrev

If we change the assertion for \texttt{conc/3} from complexity order (\texttt{o}) to upper bound (\texttt{ub}) then Ciao flags that \texttt{length(A)} is not a correct upper bound:

```prolog
:- module(., [nrev/2], [assertions, fsyntax, nativeprops]).

:- pred nrev(A,B) : {list, ground} * var =\=> list(B)
    + ( not_fails, is_det, steps_o(\ exp(length(A),2) ) )).

nrev( [] ) := [].
nrev( [H|L] ) := ~conc( ~nrev(L),[H] ).

:- pred conc(A,B,C) + ( terminates, is_det, steps_ub(length(A)) ).

conc( [], L ) := L.
conc( [H|L], K ) := [ H | ~conc(L,K) ].
```
If we change the assertion for \texttt{conc/3} from complexity order (\texttt{o}) to upper bound (\texttt{ub}) then Ciao flags that \texttt{length(A)} is not a correct upper bound:

\begin{verbatim}
:- module([nrev/2], [assertions,fsyntax,nativeprops]).

:- pred nrev(A,B) : {list, ground} * var => list(B).
    + ( not_fails, is_det, steps_o( exp(length(A),2) ) ).

nrev( [] ) := [].
nrev( [H|L] ) := ~conc( ~nrev(L),[H] ).
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
:- pred conc(A,B,C) + ( terminates, is_det, steps_ub(length(A)) ).

> False assertion:
    :- check comp conc(A,B,C)
        + ( terminates, is_det, steps_ub(length(A)) ).
because the comp field is incompatible with inferred comp:
[generic_comp] covered,is_det,mut_exclusive,not_fails,steps_lb(length(A)+1),steps_ub(length(A)+1)
> Verified assertion:
    :- check calls conc(A,B,C).
\end{verbatim}
Example: nrev

With the cost expression fixed all properties are now verified:

```prolog
:- module(_, [nrev/2], [assertions,fsyntax,nativeprops]).

:- pred nrev(A,B) : {list, ground} + var => list(B)
  + ( not_fails, is_det, steps_o( exp(length(A),2) ) ).

nrev([],) := [].
nrev([H|L]) := ~conc(~nrev(L),[H]).

:- pred conc(A,B,C) + ( terminates, is_det, steps_ub(length(A)+1) ).

conc([], L) := L.
conc([H|L], K) := [ H | ~conc(L,K) ].
```
Example: nrev

With the cost expression fixed all properties are now verified:

```prolog
:- module(_, [nrev/2], [assertions, fsyntax, nativeprops]).

:- pred nrev(A, B) : {list, ground} * var => list(B)
  + ( not_fails, is_det, steps_o( exp(length(A), 2)) ).

nrev([],) := [].
nrev([H|L]) := ~conc(~nrev(L), [H]).

:- pred conc(A, B, C) + ( terminates, is_det, steps_ub(length(A)+1)).

> Verified assertion:
:- check calls conc(A, B, C).
> Verified assertion:
:- check comp conc(A, B, C)
  + ( terminates, is_det, steps_ub(length(A)+1)).
```